



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.32, No.34

September 13th, 1996

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "To anyone who is not wilfully blind, it must be obvious that man's interference with nature, if it is not to be catastrophic, must be inspired by something very different from the rigid formalism of a Government Department. The modern Government Department has its roots in the departmentalised pseudo-science Enclopaedist forerunners of the French Revolution and its lineal descendent, Russian Bolshevism . . . Only megalomaniacs would claim that we have accumulated sufficient knowledge in about one hundred years (of "scientific research") to warrant us in undertaking the modest task of rectifying on a grand scale the errors of a Life Process which has evolved in untold milleniums."

— C.H. Douglas in *The "Land for the (Chosen) People" Racket*

SADDAM HUSSEIN A MIDDLE EAST PAWN by Eric D. Butler:

As even the Zionist Jewish press has pointed out that the Clinton Administration is the most Jewish dominated administration in American history, it is elementary that the Clinton missile attack on Iraqi dictator Saddam Hussein has the approval of Zionist Jewish leaders.

The Zionist Jewish press is unanimous in its approval of the Clinton action. Unless something completely unforeseen takes place in the near future, Clinton's attack on Hussein has ensured his re-election at the American Presidential elections in November. Already trailing in the polls, Republican candidate Bob Dole has been upstaged by the man he claimed was being too soft on Iraq. Some cynical observers have recalled that it was not so long ago that the same Bob Dole was personally lauding Saddam Hussein in Baghdad when he was attempting to persuade Iraq to buy Kansas wheat. But these observers should have gone further and recalled that before and during his eight year war with Iran, Saddam Hussein was being sustained in every possible way by the leading Western industrial nations. While it is true that there were powerful economic motives for such support, clearly there was a high level strategic reason for this support, particularly in the U.S.A. The reason was to attempt to counterbalance what was perceived to be the growing threat of Muslim fundamentalism, which had not only humiliated the U.S.A. in Iran, but which was having growing influence throughout the whole Muslim world. The long suffering Kurds, one of the victims of the broken Western promises at the end of the First World War, hardly rated a mention during this period.

But it became increasingly obvious that Saddam Hussein was, as a result of the massive financial and economic aid he was receiving from the West, starting to emerge as the strongman who might

unite a fragmented Moslem world against a common enemy – Zionist Israel. It has been loosely claimed that the latest strike by the U.S.A. against Iraq, losing the U.S.A. much of the Arab support it managed to obtain – generally by threats and financial bribery – was evidence that the U.S.A. lacked any coherent foreign policy for the Middle East. This is not true. From the beginning of the Zionist thrust into the Middle East, its first major achievement being the Balfour Declaration during the First World War, American foreign policy has consistently sought to preserve Zionist Israel. Those Americans who insisted that the U.S.A. was undermining its own long term interests in the Middle East, by backing Zionist Israel, were pushed aside. Even prominent American Jewish critics of Zionism, like the distinguished Middle East expert Dr. Alfred Lilienthal, were either ignored or smeared. American Middle East policy was Zionist policy. Every effort was made to ensure that Zionist Israel was not threatened in any way by a united Arab world. A blind eye has been turned against Zionist Israel's insistence that it has every right to build up its own nuclear capacity while claiming the right to violate Iraq's sovereignty to destroy Saddam Hussein's nuclear programme.

Few observers now disagree that Saddam Hussein was deliberately "set up" with his invasion of Kuwait. The Gulf War provided a frightening example of modern technological destruction. How many now recall that the strange alliance the U.S.A. created under George Bush was allegedly concerned about the destruction of Kuwait's "sovereignty" with much talk about the establishment of "democracy" in Kuwait. Then suddenly the Gulf War ended without the destruction of Saddam Hussein. Clearly he still had further purposes to serve. The complete destruction of Saddam Hussein and his regime would have created a major vacuum in the Middle East, with a widespread destabilising influence. Alleged Western concern about the plight of the Kurds did not extend to how they were being treated, for example, by Turkey. Turkish military attacks against the Kurds have not resulted in U.S.A. military power being unleashed against the Turks. The Turkish Government violated Iraq's national borders in its offensive against those Kurds supporting the Kurdistan Workers' Party. But then Turkey is a member of N.A.T.O. Not surprisingly, many of the U.S.A. allies in the Gulf War have found the Clinton policy too hypocritical to support.

The hypocrisy of the Clinton-Zionist policy may be judged by how Zionist Israel's last invasion of Southern Lebanon, with hundreds of civilian casualties, and the blatant attack on a United Nations camp for refugees, created little more than some wrist tapping. The U.S.A. used its influence in the United Nations to ensure that there was no criticism of Israel. The International Money Power threw its complete weight behind Boris Yeltsin before the recent Russian elections – agreed by independent observers to have been as phoney as the South African Elections which allegedly established "democracy". The Russian attempt to crush the predominantly Muslim population of Chechnya has resulted in an estimated 100,000 casualties. There is no suggestion of any American intervention.

The overall result of the Clinton military attack on Saddam Hussein has been to help to further unite the Moslem world against the U.S.A., and to foster the type of terrorism which is placing American civilians at risk everywhere. Until such time as the U.S.A. ceases to pursue pro-Zionist policies, it must expect the type of reactions it has been experiencing. There will be more acts of terrorism. It is probably too much to expect Prime Minister John Howard to attempt to pursue a foreign policy which puts Australia's long term interests ahead of those of the Zionist-Jewish internationalists. By blindly following Zionist Yes-man Clinton, John Howard invites the same type of terrorist attacks which the Americans have been experiencing.

CONSTITUTION FOR THE FEDERATION OF EARTH by David Thompson:

As this edition of *On Target* comes off the printing presses, the World Constitution and Parliament Association (W.C.P.A.) meets in Andorra, in Spain, to attempt to advance the prospect of the international adoption of its *Constitution for the Federation of Earth*. As its name suggests, this is a proposal for an international "parliament" to govern the globe; a grandiose piece of liberal ratbaggy born of the most unrealistic idealism.

Having published our assessment of the Constitution for the Federation of Earth two weeks ago, our further enquiries reveal more information, but give us no cause to change our assessment. Our enquiries from The Minister for Foreign Affairs and Trade, Mr. Downer, yielded only marginally more information from the Executive Director of the Treaties Secretariat, who wrote (in part):

"I should first thank you for drawing my attention to the document in question. Using the information you provided, I was able to find the Internet site of the World Constitution and Parliament Association at <<http://www.cruzio.com/~tim/wcpa/>> and read more about its objectives. The Association is promoting a world government to replace national governments and the United Nations. Since the Australian Government is not contemplating the abandonment of the sovereignty that allows it to take action on the range of international issues that the Association's literature identifies, and since Australia is an active member of the United Nations, you will not be surprised that we will not be ratifying the Constitution of the Federation of Earth . . .

- It has not been nor is it likely to be the subject of official consideration for ratification on Australia's behalf.*
- World Government is not Australian Government policy, but Australia has been a proponent of various suggestions for the reform of the United Nations."*

There is no sign of deliberate tongue-in-cheek in the material from the Department of Foreign Affairs, so presumably the Director of the Treaties Secretariat can be taken at his word for the present. It does appear, however, that a number of Australians have offered full support for this 'constitution'. As we reported previously, Doug Everingham was a signatory, as was Mr. Rod Welford, M.L.A.

Mr. Welford is a serving Member of the Queensland Legislative Assembly for the seat of Everton in Brisbane (A.L.P.). When asked about his sponsorship of the "Constitution for the Federation of Earth", however, Mr. Welford was horrified to discover that he was listed as a signatory. Some time ago, Doug Everingham, who was an A.L.P. Member of the Federal Parliament from 1967-75, had distributed a precis of the "constitution". Welford was one of the recipients, and replied to Everingham that he sympathised with the intentions. This was subsequently used without his knowledge to indicate that he was a "signatory".

Other research indicates that the A.L.P.'s. Gordon Bryant, former Member for Wills in Victoria, was also a signatory. This would reflect Bryant's background; he served as an Australian delegate to the Inter-Parliamentary Union on several occasions. But the W.C.P.A. appears to have some questionable supporters and members. It was to have met in Innsbruck, Austria, late in July, but was prevented from doing so, because the Austrians had refused visas for some of the delegates. The conference was re-scheduled for this week in Spain.

In our view, the W.C.P.A.'s. Constitution for the Federation of Earth is a "motherhood" document, which makes the small "I" liberal feel warm and fuzzy; another one of those "good causes" which

those with more money than sense can support in the mistaken belief that they are furthering "world peace". But **the idea** that mankind can only be successfully governed centrally is quite satanic. It is of much greater concern to us that **this idea** is being pursued daily in a thousand small ways in almost every Federal Government Department, rather than once a year among a couple of hundred rather nutty idealists in an international talk-fest. Let us focus on the greater danger in our own backyard, while "the eyes of the fool are on the ends of the earth". As C.H. Douglas remarked in *Economic Democracy*: "We must build up from the individual, not down from the State."

INDIGNANT FISCHER LECTURES POLITICIANS ON ASIA:

In the annual parliamentary winter recess, when the Federal politicians usually like to take their foreign junkets (preferably to the northern hemisphere or the tropics) Deputy Prime Minister Mr. Fischer is angered that his colleagues tend to ignore Asia. He is said to be "deeply angry" that so many M.P's. prefer Europe or North America to pursue "essential research", while he has given up his own free time over the last 19 years to curry favour with the Asians. Presumably this has made Mr. Fischer an "expert" on Tibet, or helped forge valuable links with Brunei. But it does have the virtue of being frightfully politically correct, no matter how uncomfortable it has been!

Mr. Fischer has obviously not read the powerful and challenging "*The Asian Mind Games*", by Chin-ning Chu, the Westerner's survival manual, in Asia. Few of his colleagues would have read it either, but perhaps they regard it as unnecessary if they refuse to engage Asia personally. This is a great mistake. While ever there are blindly pro-Asian politicians in a position of influence, the West needs to understand more about the Asian long-term programme. "*The Asian Mind Games*": \$20.00 from all League book services, or \$23.00 posted.

ONE NATION OR TRIBAL MISH-MASH?

Drafts of the Northern Territory Constitution in preparation for Statehood are reported to include the acknowledgement of Aboriginal "civilisation" pre-existing European colonisation, and provision for Aboriginal traditional law (organic law). It also heavily restricts the Governor's role in curbing government, binding him to act on the advice of his Ministers, except in unconstitutional circumstances.

But what is the purpose of acknowledging the Aborigines? What about acknowledging the British contribution to settlement? Or the Afghan camel drivers who opened up so much of the interior? Or are others to be regarded as "honorary Aborigines"? Such an approach to Statehood is dangerous, without some debate taking place on **exactly who were the original inhabitants of Australia?**

Aboriginal groups naturally behave as though there was no doubt that they are the "original" inhabitants, and any evidence to the contrary is ruthlessly suppressed. A recent book that examines such evidence had to be published privately, because no publisher was game to handle it. "*CAPE YORK - The Savage Frontier*", by Rodney Liddell, examines the cover-up of false Aboriginality, and studies available evidence of the genocide of occupants prior to the Aborigines. The League can provide a limited number of this hard-cover book, which includes colour photographs: \$35.00 or \$38.00 posted.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights,
145 Russell Street, Melbourne. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$30.00 p.a.